

**Evaluation Criteria for Experimental Demonstrative Projects
(PED 2021)**

Criterion 1: Project objectives and scope

(30%)

(see section B2.1 of funding application)

To what extent:

- *The project scope is clearly presented, describing explicitly the demonstration model (product, technology, method, system or service) to be developed and tested/ validated?*
- *Are the results innovative and relevant in relation to the national and international state of the art?*
- *Are the project objectives correlated with the outcome of the project? Is the project feasible?*
- *Is the TRL level clearly argued at beginning of the project, and is the level reached after project implementation well determined on the TRL scale?*

Criterion 2: Presentation of the technology / product concept or of the existing lab product

(40%)

(see section B2.2 of funding application)

To what extent:

- *Are the preliminary results significant on the date of submitting the proposal: publications, patents and research projects that led to basic concept of the project?*
- *Is the expertise level of experienced researchers and postdoctoral researchers nominated in the project team good? Is the expertise well-correlated with their contribution in the project?*

Criterion 3: Project implementation

(30%)

(see section B2.3 of funding application)

To what extent:

- *Are the proposed activities and deliverables well structured?*
- *Is the budget and timetable of the project well justified (resources/time/results)?*
- *Is the research infrastructure useful/innovative (used in order to implement the project)?*
- *Is each team member's role and team project partner well described?*
- *Is the impact and dissemination of project results well described? Are intellectual property rights well shared?*
- *Are the risks associated with project implementation activities identified and the ways to treating them well described?*